he Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PTO/SB/21 (09-06)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

ETHAOPAR .			Application Number	09/544,80	8			
TRANSMITTAL FORM			Filing Date	April 7, 20	000			
			First Named Inventor	Ibrahim S	EZAN			
			Art Unit	2623				
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Examiner Name	C. LAMBF	RECHT			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission -17 -			Attorney Docket Number	KLR 7143	KLR 7143.066			
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)								
Amenda  Extensi  Express  Informa  Certified Docume  Reply to Incomp	Fee Transmittal Form  Fee Attached  Amendment/Reply  After Final  Affidavits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request  Express Abandonment Request		Drawing(s)  Licensing-related Papers  Petition  Petition to Convert to a  Provisional Application  Power of Attorney, Revocati  Change of Correspondence  Terminal Disclaimer  Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)  Landscape Table on C	Address	After Allowance Communication to TC  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences  Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):  Certificate of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8  Check #75436 (\$500) for appeal brief fee Receipt Acknowledgment Postcard			
,	SIGNA	TURE C	RE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm Name Chernoff, Vilhauer, McClung			ng & Stenzel, LLP					
Signature . Hun 22								
Printed name Kurt Rohlfs								
Date February 12, 2007			F		54,405			

# **CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING** I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature See included Certificate of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8

Date

February 12, 2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

**Kurt Rohlfs** 

Typed or printed name

500

Effect		respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control num  Complete if Known					
		ations Act, 2005 (H.R. 48:	I A	Application Numb	er (	9/544,808	
FEE TR	_ F	iling Date	A	April 7, 2000			
For FY 2006				irst Named Inve	ntor	Ibrahim SEZAN	
Applicant claims small	L ontitu atatus	- Soc 27 CED 1 27		xaminer Name		C. LAMBRECHT	
	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A	Art Unit	2	2623	
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAY	MENT (\$)	) – 500.00 –	Α	Attorney Docket N	No. ł	KLR 7146.066	
METHOD OF PAYMEN	T (check al	l that apply)					
Check Credit	Card [	Money Order	None	Other (ple	ease ident	tify):	
Denosit Account		nt Number: 03-1550		_		e: Chernoff, V	ilhauer et al
<b></b> `		account, the Director is					
	·		•	· —			ant for the filling for
Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee							
	•					·	
Charge any a	additional fee	e(s) or underpayments	of fee(s		, ,	payments	
Charge any a	additional fee R 1.16 and 1	.17		credit a	any over	payments	rovide credit card
Charge any a under 37 CF WARNING: Information on thin ormation and authorization	additional fee R 1.16 and 1 s form may be	.17 ecome public. Credit ca		credit a	any over	payments	rovide credit card
Charge any a under 37 CF WARNING: Information on thin ormation and authorization	additional fee R 1.16 and 1 s form may be	.17 ecome public. Credit ca		credit a	any over	payments	rovide credit card
Charge any a under 37 CFI under 37 CFI under 37 CFI control on thinformation and authorization FEE CALCULATION	additional fee R 1.16 and 1 s form may be on PTO-2038	.17 ecome public. Credit car B.  EXAMINATION FE	erd inform	Credit a	any over	payments ded on this form. P	rovide credit card
Charge any a under 37 CFI under	additional fee R 1.16 and 1 s form may be on PTO-2038 RCH, AND FILING	.17 ecome public. Credit car B.  EXAMINATION FEI FEES S	ES	Credit a	any over	payments  ded on this form. P	rovide credit card
Charge any a under 37 CFI under	additional fee R 1.16 and 1 s form may be on PTO-2038 RCH, AND FILING	.17 ecome public. Credit car B.  EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity	ES	Credit a	any over	payments  ded on this form. P  NATION FEES  Small Entity	rovide credit card  Fees Paid (\$)
Charge any a under 37 CFI vaRNING: Information on thinformation and authorization FEE CALCULATION  I. BASIC FILING, SEAI	additional fee R 1.16 and 1 is form may be on PTO-2038 RCH, AND FILING	.17 ecome public. Credit car s.  EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity Fee (\$)	ES EARCH	Credit an ation should not H FEES	eny over	payments  ded on this form. P  NATION FEES  Small Entity	
Charge any a under 37 CFI under	additional fee R 1.16 and 1 Is form may be n on PTO-2038 RCH, AND FILING Fee (\$)	.17 ecome public. Credit car 3.  EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity Fee (\$) Fo	ES EARCH	Credit a mation should not H FEES Small Entity Fee (\$)	EXAMI	payments  ded on this form. P  NATION FEES  Small Entity Fee (\$)	
Charge any a under 37 CFI vaRNING: Information on thinformation and authorization FEE CALCULATION  BASIC FILING, SEAI  Application Type  Utility	RCH, AND FILING Fee (\$)	EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity Fee (\$) Fe 150 5 100 1	ES EARCH	Credit a mation should not H FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 250	EXAMI	payments  ded on this form. P  NATION FEES  Small Entity Fee (\$)  100	
Charge any a under 37 CFI under	RCH, AND FILING Fee (\$) 300	EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity Fee (\$) Fe 150 5 100 1 100 3	ES EARCH ee (\$)	Credit anation should not H FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 250 50	EXAMI Fee (\$200	NATION FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 100 65	
Charge any a under 37 CFI under	RCH, AND FILING Fee (\$) 300 200	EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity Fee (\$) Fe 150 5 100 1 100 3	ES EEARCH ee (\$) 500 100	H FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 250 50 150	EXAMI Fee (\$200 130 160	NATION FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 100 65 80	
Charge any a under 37 CFI Under	additional fee R 1.16 and 1 Is form may be n on PTO-2038  RCH, AND FILING Fee (\$) 300 200 300 200	.17 ecome public. Credit car 3.  EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity Fee (\$) Fe 150 5 100 1 100 3 150 5	ES EARCH SEARCH 600 100 300	H FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 250 150 250	EXAMI Fee (\$200 130 160 600	NATION FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 100 65 80 300	Fees Paid (\$)
Charge any a under 37 CFI VARNING: Information on thinformation and authorization FEE CALCULATION  I. BASIC FILING, SEAI  Application Type Utility Design Plant Reissue Provisional  2. EXCESS CLAIM FEI Fee Description	RCH, AND FILING Fee (\$) 300 200 300 200 ES	.17 ecome public. Credit car 3.  EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity Fee (\$) Fe 150 5 100 1 100 3 150 5 100 5	ES EARCH SEARCH 600 100 300	H FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 250 150 250	EXAMI Fee (\$200 130 160 600	NATION FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 100 65 80 300 0 Fee (\$)	Fees Paid (\$)  Small Entity Fee (\$)
Charge any a under 37 CFI VARNING: Information on thinformation and authorization FEE CALCULATION  I. BASIC FILING, SEAI  Application Type Utility Design Plant Reissue Provisional  2. EXCESS CLAIM FEI Fee Description Each claim over 20 (	additional fee R 1.16 and 1 Is form may be non PTO-2038  RCH, AND FILING Fee (\$) 300 200 200 300 200 ES	.17 ecome public. Credit car 3.  EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity Fee (\$) For the service of	ES SEARCH See (\$) 500 100 300 0	H FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 250 150 250	EXAMI Fee (\$200 130 160 600	NATION FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 100 65 80 300 0 Fee (\$) 50	Small Entity Fee (\$) 25
Charge any a under 37 CFI WARNING: Information on thinformation and authorization FEE CALCULATION  I. BASIC FILING, SEAI  Application Type Utility Design Plant Reissue Provisional  2. EXCESS CLAIM FE Fee Description Each claim over 20 ( Each independent claim	additional fee R 1.16 and 1 Is form may be non PTO-2038  RCH, AND FILING  Fee (\$)  300  200  200  300  200  ES  Cincluding Raim over 3 (	.17 ecome public. Credit car 3.  EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity Fee (\$) For the service of	ES SEARCH See (\$) 500 100 300 0	H FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 250 150 250	EXAMI Fee (\$200 130 160 600	NATION FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 100 65 80 300 0 Fee (\$) 50 200	Small Entity Fee (\$) 25 100
Charge any a under 37 CFI WARNING: Information on thinformation and authorization FEE CALCULATION  I. BASIC FILING, SEAI  Application Type Utility Design Plant Reissue Provisional  2. EXCESS CLAIM FE Fee Description Each claim over 20 ( Each independent claim Multiple dependent claim	RCH, AND FILING Fee (\$) 300 200 200 200 200 ES Fincluding Raim over 3 (claims	.17 ecome public. Credit car 3.  EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity Fee (\$) For 150	ES SEARCH S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	H FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 250 50 150 250	EXAMI Fee (\$200 130 160 600	NATION FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 100 65 80 300 0 Fee (\$) 50 200 360	Fees Paid (\$)  Small Entity Fee (\$) 25 100 180
Charge any a under 37 CFI WARNING: Information on thinformation and authorization FEE CALCULATION  1. BASIC FILING, SEAN  Application Type Utility Design Plant Reissue Provisional  2. EXCESS CLAIM FE Fee Description Each claim over 20 ( Each independent claim dependent claim of the control	additional fee R 1.16 and 1 Is form may be n on PTO-2038  RCH, AND FILING Fee (\$) 300 200 200 300 200 ES Fincluding R aim over 3 (claims Extra Claims	.17 ecome public. Credit car 3.  EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity Fee (\$) 5 100 1 100 3 150 5 100 Reissues) (including Reissues)  ms Fee (\$)	ES SEARCH S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	H FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 250 150 250	EXAMI Fee (\$200 130 160 600	NATION FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 100 65 80 300 0 Fee (\$) 50 200 360 Multiple De	Fees Paid (\$)  Small Entity Fee (\$) 25 100 180 ependent Claims
Charge any a under 37 CFI WARNING: Information on thinformation and authorization FEE CALCULATION  I. BASIC FILING, SEAI  Application Type Utility Design Plant Reissue Provisional  2. EXCESS CLAIM FE Fee Description Each claim over 20 ( Each independent claim Multiple dependent claim	additional fee R 1.16 and 1 Is form may be non PTO-2038  RCH, AND FILING Fee (\$) 300 200 200 300 200 ES Cincluding Raim over 3 (claims Extra Claim	.17 ecome public. Credit car 3.  EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity Fee (\$) For 150 5 100 1 100 3 150 5 100 Reissues) (including Reissues)  ms Fee (\$) = =	ES SEARCH S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	H FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 250 50 150 250	EXAMI Fee (\$200 130 160 600	NATION FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 100 65 80 300 0 Fee (\$) 50 200 360	Fees Paid (\$)  Small Entity Fee (\$) 25 100 180
Charge any a under 37 CFI WARNING: Information on thinformation and authorization FEE CALCULATION  I. BASIC FILING, SEAN  Application Type Utility Design Plant Reissue Provisional  2. EXCESS CLAIM FE Fee Description Each claim over 20 ( Each independent claim independent claim over 20 ( Total Claims  HP = highest number of total Indep. Claims	additional fee R 1.16 and 1 Is form may be non PTO-2038  RCH, AND FILING Fee (\$) 300 200 200 300 200 ES Cincluding Raim over 3 (claims Extra Claim	.17 ecome public. Credit car 3.  EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity Fee (\$) 5 100 1 100 3 150 5 100 Reissues) (including Reissues) (including Reissues) or, if greater than 20.	ES SEARCH S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	H FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 250 50 150 250 0	EXAMI Fee (\$200 130 160 600	NATION FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 100 65 80 300 0 Fee (\$) 50 200 360 Multiple De	Fees Paid (\$)  Small Entity Fee (\$) 25 100 180 ependent Claims
Charge any a under 37 CFI NARNING: Information on thinformation and authorization FEE CALCULATION  I. BASIC FILING, SEAI  Application Type Utility Design Plant Reissue Provisional  2. EXCESS CLAIM FEI Fee Description Each claim over 20 ( Each independent claims  ———————————————————————————————————	RCH, AND FILING Fee (\$) 300 200 200 300 200 ES including Raim over 3 (claims Extra Claim	.17 ecome public. Credit car 3.  EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity Fee (\$) Fe 150 5 100 1 100 3 150 5 100  Reissues) (including Reissues) (including Reissues) or, if greater than 20.  ms Fee (\$) x =	ES EEARCH ee (\$) 500 100 500 0	H FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 250 50 150 250 0	EXAMI Fee (\$200 130 160 600	NATION FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 100 65 80 300 0 Fee (\$) 50 200 360 Multiple De	Fees Paid (\$)  Small Entity Fee (\$) 25 100 180 ependent Claims
Charge any a under 37 CFI WARNING: Information on thinformation and authorization FEE CALCULATION  1. BASIC FILING, SEAI  Application Type Utility Design Plant Reissue Provisional  2. EXCESS CLAIM FE Fee Description Each claim over 20 ( Each independent claim dependent claim over 20 ( Total Claims  HP = highest number of total Indep. Claims	additional fee R 1.16 and 1 Is form may be n on PTO-2038  RCH, AND FILING Fee (\$) 300 200 200 300 200 ES Cincluding Reim over 3 (claims Extra Claim Extra Claim Extra Claim	.17 ecome public. Credit car 3.  EXAMINATION FEI FEES S Small Entity Fee (\$) Fe 150 5 100 1 100 3 150 5 100  Reissues) (including Reissues) (including Reissues) or, if greater than 20.  ms Fee (\$) x =	ES EEARCH ee (\$) 500 100 500 0	H FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 250 50 150 250 0	EXAMI Fee (\$200 130 160 600	NATION FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) 100 65 80 300 0 Fee (\$) 50 200 360 Multiple De	Fees Paid (\$)  Small Entity Fee (\$) 25 100 180 ependent Claims

SUBMITTED BY				
Signature	flust	W	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 54,405	Telephone (503) 227- 5631
Name (Print/Type)	Kurt Rohlfs			Date February 12, 2007

Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)

Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): Appeal brief fee [37 CFR 41.20(b)(2)] (large entity)

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



# THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Applicant:

Sezan

Group Art Unit:

2623

Serial No.:

09/544,808

Examiner:

Lambrecht, C.

Filed:

April 7, 2000

Customer No.:

55648

Title:

AUDIOVISUAL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

#### APPELLANT'S BRIEF

Chernoff, Vilhauer, McClung, and Stenzel, L.L.P. 1600 ODS Tower 601 SW Second Avenue Portland, Oregon 97204

February 12, 2007

Mail Stop APPEAL BRIEF-PATENTS Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

# **BACKGROUND**

This brief is in furtherance of the Notice of Appeal, filed in this case on December 12, 2006.

The fees required under 37. C.F.R. § 41.20(b)(2), and any required petition for extension of time for filing this brief and fees therefore, are dealt with in the accompanying TRANSMITTAL OF APPEAL BRIEF.

This brief comprises these subjects under the headings, and in the order, set forth below:

02/15/2007 GWORDOF1 00000001 09544808

01 FC:1402

500.00 OP

- I. Real Party in Interest
- II. Related Appeals and Interferences
- III. Status of Claims
- IV. Status of Amendments
- V. Summary of Claimed Subject Matter
- VI. Grounds for Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal
- VII. Argument
- VIII. Conclusion
- IX. Claims Appendix
- X. Evidence Appendix
- XI. Related Proceedings Appendix

The final page of this brief bears the practitioner's signature.

# **REAL PARTY IN INTEREST**

The real party in interest in this appeal is Sharp Laboratories of America, Inc., assignee of the captioned application.

# RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no other appeals or interferences that will directly affect, be directly affected by, or have a bearing on the Board's decision in this appeal.

#### **STATUS OF CLAIMS**

# A. TOTAL NUMBER OF CLAIMS IN THE APPLICATION

There are 6 claims currently pending in the application.

# B. STATUS OF ALL CLAIMS

Claims canceled:

6-94

Claims withdrawn:

None

Claims pending:

1-5, and 95

Claims allowed:

None

Claims objected to:

None

Claims rejected:

1-5, and 95

#### C. CLAIMS ON APPEAL

Claims 1-5 and 95 are on appeal.

A copy of the claims on appeal is set forth in the Claims Appendix to this Brief.

# **STATUS OF AMENDMENTS**

No amendment was filed after final rejection.

#### **SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER**

The claimed subject matter, as set forth in independent claim 1, is generally directed to a method of using a system with at least one of audio, image, and a video comprising a plurality of frames. Specifically, the claimed method provides a usage preferences description, describing preferences of a user with respect to the use of the at least one audio, image, and video (*See, e.g.* FIG. 1; *see also* Specification at p. 9 lines 5-8 and p. 10 line 8 to p. 11 line 29), and where the

usage preferences description includes multiple preferences (*See, e.g.* Specification at 41 line 22 to p. 43 line 25; p. 55 lines 3-22; and p. 57 lines 18-24). Furthermore, the claimed method provides a protection attribute with respect to a selected set of preferences indicating whether the preferences in the set selected are considered public or private, where the protection attribute comprises a binary number having a number of bits equal to the number of preferences in the selected set, and where each bit of the binary number indicates whether a particular preference in the selected set is to be public or private (*See, e.g.* Specification at p. 67 lines 1-13; p. 71 line10; and p. 72 lines 1-2).

# **GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL**

The grounds of rejection presented for review are whether claims 1-5 and 95 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Dedrick, U.S. Pat. No. 5,696,965 (hereinafter Dedrick), in view of O'Flaherty et al., U.S. Pat. No. 6,253,203 (hereinafter O'Flaherty).

#### **ARGUMENT**

The Examiner rejected claims 1-5 and 95 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious in view of the combination of Dedrick and O'Flaherty. A rejection of a claim under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of a combination of prior art references is proper only if each and every limitation in the claim is either found or suggested in the combination recited. This requirement has not been met by the Examiner. Specifically, independent claim 1, from which the remaining pending claims depend, recites the limitation of "providing a protection attribute with respect to a selected set of said preferences indicating whether the preferences in said selected set are considered public or private, said protection attribute comprising a binary number having a

number of bits equal to the number of preferences in said selected set and where each bit of said binary number indicates whether a particular preference in said selected set is to be public or private." This limitation is neither taught nor suggested by either of Dedrick or O'Flaherty.

First, the Examiner misreads the secondary reference O'Flaherty. The Examiner contends that O'Flaherty discloses the claimed limitation of a "protection attribute comprising a binary number having a number of bits equal to the number of preferences in said selected set, and where each bit of said binary number indicates whether a particular preference in said selected set is to be public or private." It does not. O'Flaherty discloses a protection attribute comprising at least a five-bit number-per-preference. See, e.g. O'Flaherty at col. 9 lines 32-36 (stating that a minimum of 5 opt out flags will be used per customer record, each flag being a bit – i.e. a "0" or a "1"); Id. at col. 10 lines 9-16 (stating an embodiment where each class of opt out, of which there are at least 5, could be applied "separately to each category of personal data). Stated differently, O'Flaherty discloses a general embodiment that permits customers to select values for each opt out flag (of which there are a minimum of 5), each opting out of a certain use for the customer's collection of personal data. See FIG. 2 of O'Flaherty (which uses 6 flags). O'Flaherty later discloses a more fine-tuned system permitting customers to check flags for each preference within their record. But even in this latter embodiment, there are still at least five flags (bits) per preference, as opposed five flags per customer record in the first embodiment. In either case, O'Flaherty fails to disclose a one-bit-per-preference protection attribute.

Second, there would be no motivation in the prior art to modify Dedrick so as to arrive at the limitation claimed, irrespective of what secondary reference were used. The Examiner contends that Dedrick teaches the limitation of "providing a protection attribute with respect to a selected set of said preferences indicating whether the preferences in the selected set are

considered public or private." See Office Action at p. 2. Though true, the Examiner cites the wrong disclosure in Dedrick. Dedrick teaches protecting a personal profile database from access by others who have access to a user's computer, by encrypting in whole a personal profile when it is not in use. See Dedrick at col. 5 lines 51-56; see also Office Action dated January 27, 2006 (wherein the Examiner correctly cites to this disclosure in Dedrick as teaching the relevant limitation). Moreover, Dedrick teaches that the number of attributes in the personal profile will vary, depending on which attributes the user chooses to omit from the profile. See Dedrick at col. 7 lines 26-29. Given that Dedrick's encryption key must be complex (so that is cannot easily be broken), as well as the user-selected number of attributes in the profile, it cannot be plausibly argued either that Dedrick teaches the claimed limitation of an encryption key "comprising a binary number having a number of bits equal to the number of preferences in said selected set, and where each bit of said binary number indicates whether a particular preference in said selected set is to be public or private" or that it would be obvious to modify Dedrick to have an encryption key with such a characteristic. Stated otherwise, modifying Dedrick's encryption key to comprise a binary value having a number of bits equal to the number of preferences, each bit representing whether that preference is public or private, would not only be infeasible (due to the unknowable number of preferences in the personal profile database), but would thwart the very purpose of Dedrick's encryption key by making it a trivial exercise to crack the key.

Perhaps recognizing the futility in arguing that it would be obvious to modify Dedrick's encryption key so as to teach applicant's claimed limitation, the Examiner now cites another portion of Dedrick as teaching a "protection attribute." See Office Action dated September 8, 2006 (citing Dedrick at col. 7 lines 3-35). This portion of Dedrick, however, merely discloses that a user may elect to omit chosen preferences/attributes from the database itself. Thus, while

Dedrick's system has the capability of precluding user-selected preferences from inclusion in the database, this capability would naturally obviate any need for a "protection attribute comprising a binary number having a number of bits equal to the number of preferences in said selected set. and where each bit of said binary number indicates whether a particular preference in said selected set is to be public or private." (The applicant notes that the Examiner may well be reading the tem "attribute" broadly enough to read on a "function" or "capability" of the system of Dedrick. Although proper, such a claim interpretation is at odds with a later "bait and switch" modification that uses the term "attribute" as an actual value or information attribute used in the system of Dedrick). Furthermore, by adding the functionality of an ability on the part of a user to exclude selected preferences from the database itself, Dedrick is actually teaching away from the Examiner's proposed modification; because the preference data for any individual is only used when statistically compiled with data from other users, the provided functionality of allowing a user to select which preferences are submitted teaches away from a proposed substitution of adding useless data to the database, only to include flags or other information precluding their use in that database.

Therefore independent claim 1, as well as its dependent claims 2-5 and 95 patenatoly distinguish over the cited combination of Dedrick and O'Flaherty, and the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-5 and 95 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) should be reversed.

# **CONCLUSION**

The Examiner's respective rejections of claims 1-5 and 95 should be reversed, and the claims should be found patentable.

Respectfully submitted,

Hut 22

Kurt Rohlfs

Reg. No. 54,405

Attorney for Applicant

Telephone: (503) 227-5631

# **CLAIMS APPENDIX**

- 1. A method of using a system with at least one of audio, image, and a video comprising a plurality of frames comprising the steps of:
- (a) providing a usage preferences description, describing preferences of a user with respect to the use of said at least one audio, image, and video, where said description includes multiple preferences; and
- (b) providing a protection attribute with respect to a selected set of said preferences indicating whether the preferences in said selected set are considered public or private said protection attribute comprising a binary number having a number of bits equal to the number of preferences in said selected set and where each bit of said binary number indicates whether a particular preference in said selected set is to be public or private.
- 2. The method of claim 1 wherein said at least one of said audio, image, and video is video.
- 3. The method of claim 2 further comprising the step of limiting access to preferences associated with the said protection attribute based upon said protection attribute.
- 4. The method of claim 3 wherein said access is limited to a remotely located service provider of said video.
  - 5. The method of claim 1 wherein said protection attribute is a binary value.

6-94 (Canceled).

95. The method of claim 1 wherein said selected list includes all of said preferences.

# **EVIDENCE APPENDIX:**

None.

# **RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX:**

None.